



## FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date: 23/08/21

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

Student	
Teacher	✓
Employer	
Parent	
Alumni	
Other (Please specify)	

### Teacher's feedback

Please give following details:

Name	Eyed Zaheeruddin
Department	ECF
Designation	Assistant Professor
Academic Year	2021-22
Courses you are teaching	MPMCs, Digital Design

Please rate the syllabus on the following points:

- A. Do you feel that the syllabus is defined in a way to clarify your teaching goals and what you expect your students to learn?

Poor (1)	
Fair (2)	
Average (3)	
Good (4)	
Excellent (5)	✓

- B. Is your syllabus sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics?

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	



C. Is the timely coverage of syllabus possible in the mentioned number of hours ?

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	✓

D. Sufficient reference material and books are available for the topics mentioned in the syllabus?

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

E. The evaluation methods mentioned in the curriculum are sufficient for providing proper assessment?

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

F. The present syllabus is

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	✓

Rate your course syllabus on the following points:

G. Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities or broadening perspectives)

Poor	
Fair	
Average	✓
Good	
Excellent	



II. Depth of the course content

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	✓

I. Applicability/relevance to real life situations


Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

J. Extent of the coverage of course

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

K. Give suggestions for improvement (to consider during syllabus revision)

A few industry courses needed in the syllabus.

  
Signature

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.

Your comments will be analysed and considered along with feedback received from other stakeholders. This information will assist KITSW to determine how well the curriculum is meeting the needs of students and to identify areas requiring change or further monitoring.





## FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date: 07/09/21

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

Student	
Teacher	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Employer	
Parent	
Alumni	
Other (Please specify)	

### Teacher's feedback

Please give following details:

Name	A. Srinivas
Department	ECE
Designation	Asst. Prof
Academic Year	2021-22
Courses you are teaching	AIML python, AC-1, EMTL, MLSP-M.Tech

Please rate the syllabus on the following points:

A. Do you feel that the syllabus is defined in a way to clarify your teaching goals and what you expect your students to learn?

Poor (1)	
Fair (2)	
Average (3)	
Good (4)	
Excellent (5)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

B. Is your syllabus sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics?

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>



C. Is the timely coverage of syllabus possible in the mentioned number of hours ?

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	✓

D. Sufficient reference material and books are available for the topics mentioned in the syllabus?

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	✓

E. The evaluation methods mentioned in the curriculum are sufficient for providing proper assessment?

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	✓

F. The present syllabus is

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	✓

Rate *your course syllabus* on the following points:

G. Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities or broadening perspectives)

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	✓



H. Depth of the course content

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	✓


I. Applicability/ relevance to real life situations

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	✓

J. Extent of the coverage of course

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	✓

K. Give suggestions for improvement (*to consider during syllabus revision*)

  
Signature

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.

Your comments will be analysed and considered along with feedback received from other stakeholders. This information will assist KITSW to determine how well the curriculum is meeting the needs of students and to identify areas requiring change or further monitoring.





## FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date: 10/10/21

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

Student	
Teacher	✓
Employer	
Parent	
Alumni	
Other (Please specify)	

### Teacher's feedback

Please give following details:

Name	Dr. D. Veni
Department	ECE
Designation	Asst. Prof.
Academic Year	2021-22
Courses you are teaching	Signals & Systems, Digital Signal Processing

Please rate the syllabus on the following points:

A. Do you feel that the syllabus is defined in a way to clarify your teaching goals and what you expect your students to learn?

Poor (1)	
Fair (2)	
Average (3)	
Good (4)	
Excellent (5)	✓

B. Is your syllabus sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics?

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	✓



C. Is the timely coverage of syllabus possible in the mentioned number of hours ?

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	✓

D. Sufficient reference material and books are available for the topics mentioned in the syllabus?

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

E. The evaluation methods mentioned in the curriculum are sufficient for providing proper assessment?

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	✓

F. The present syllabus is

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	✓

Rate *your course syllabus* on the following points:

G. Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities or broadening perspectives)

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	✓



H. Depth of the course content

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

I. Applicability/relevance to real life situations

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	


J. Extent of the coverage of course

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	✓

K. Give suggestions for improvement (to consider during syllabus revision)

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.

Your comments will be analysed and considered along with feedback received from other stakeholders. This information will assist KITSW to determine how well the curriculum is meeting the needs of students and to identify areas requiring change or further monitoring.

  
Signature  
(Dr. D. Venu)



## FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date: 27-01-2022

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

Student	
Teacher	✓
Employer	
Parent	
Alumni	
Other (Please specify)	

### Teacher's feedback

Please give following details:

Name	DR. B. Dhanalakshmi
Department	ECE
Designation	Asst. professor
Academic Year	2021-22
Courses you are teaching	EDC, Ael, Aez, RSC, DIP

Please rate the syllabus on the following points:

- A. Do you feel that the syllabus is defined in a way to clarify your teaching goals and what you expect your students to learn?

Poor (1)	
Fair (2)	
Average (3)	
Good (4)	
Excellent (5)	✓

- B. Is your syllabus sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics?

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	✓



C. Is the timely coverage of syllabus possible in the mentioned number of hours?

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

D. Sufficient reference material and books are available for the topics mentioned in the syllabus?

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	✓

E. The evaluation methods mentioned in the curriculum are sufficient for providing proper assessment?

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	✓

F. The present syllabus is

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

Rate your course syllabus on the following points:

G. Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities or broadening perspectives)

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	✓



## H. Depth of the course content

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

## I. Applicability/relevance to real life situations

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	✓

## J. Extent of the coverage of course

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

## K. Give suggestions for improvement (to consider during syllabus revision)

NRL

  
Signature

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.

Your comments will be analysed and considered along with feedback received from other stakeholders. This information will assist KITSW to determine how well the curriculum is meeting the needs of students and to identify areas requiring change or further monitoring.





## FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date: 12/09/22

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

Student	
Teacher	✓
Employer	
Parent	
Alumni	
Other (Please specify)	

### Teacher's feedback

Please give following details:

Name	J. Sheshagiri Babu.
Department	ECE
Designation	Assistant Professor
Academic Year	2021-22
Courses you are teaching	MP, MPMCS, MRFB

Please rate the syllabus on the following points:

- A. Do you feel that the syllabus is defined in a way to clarify your teaching goals and what you expect your students to learn?

Poor (1)	
Fair (2)	
Average (3)	
Good (4)	✓
Excellent (5)	

- B. Is your syllabus sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics?

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	



C. Is the timely coverage of syllabus possible in the mentioned number of hours ?

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

D. Sufficient reference material and books are available for the topics mentioned in the syllabus?

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

E. The evaluation methods mentioned in the curriculum are sufficient for providing proper assessment?

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

F. The present syllabus is

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

Rate your course syllabus on the following points:

G. Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities or broadening perspectives)

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	



II. Depth of the course content

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

I. Applicability/ relevance to real life situations

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

J. Extent of the coverage of course

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

K. Give suggestions for improvement (to consider during syllabus revision)

syllabus is good

  
Signature

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.

Your comments will be analysed and considered along with feedback received from other stakeholders. This information will assist KITSW to determine how well the curriculum is meeting the needs of students and to identify areas requiring change or further monitoring.





## FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date: 28.01.2022

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

Student	
Teacher	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Employer	
Parent	
Alumni	
Other (Please specify)	

### Teacher's feedback

Please give following details:

Name	Dr. Ch. Sudhavi Reddy
Department	ECE
Designation	Asst. Professor
Academic Year	2021-2022
Courses you are teaching	LICA, VLSI, SVDV, AICD.

Please rate the syllabus on the following points:

- A. Do you feel that the syllabus is defined in a way to clarify your teaching goals and what you expect your students to learn?

Poor (1)	
Fair (2)	
Average (3)	
Good (4)	
Excellent (5)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

- B. Is your syllabus sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics?

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>



C. Is the timely covering

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	✓

D. Sufficient reference material and books are available for the topics mentioned in the syllabus?

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	✓

E. The evaluation methods mentioned in the curriculum are sufficient for providing proper assessment?

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	✓

F. The present syllabus is

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

Rate your course syllabus on the following points:

G. Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities or broadening perspectives)

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	✓



H. Depth of the course content

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

I. Applicability/ relevance to real life situations


Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

J. Extent of the coverage of course

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

K. Give suggestions for improvement (to consider during syllabus revision)

NIL

  
Signature

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.

Your comments will be analysed and considered along with feedback received from other stakeholders. This information will assist KITSW to determine how well the curriculum is meeting the needs of students and to identify areas requiring change or further monitoring.