

KAKATIYA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY & SCIENCE Wareingal – 506 015, Telangana, INDIA (An ALTONOMOUS INSTITUTE mider Kakatiya University, Wareingal) కాకతీయ సాంకేతిక బిజ్జాన శాస్త్ర బిద్యాలయం మందర్ – 408 084.

FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date: 27 08 2

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

Student	
Teacher	~
Employer	
Parent	
Alumni	
Other (Please specify)	

Teacher's feedback

Please give following details:

<i>'</i>
2.0

Please rate the syllabus on the following points:

A. Do you feel that the syllabus is defined in a way to clarify your teaching goals and what you expect your students to learn?

Poor (1)	
Fair (2)	 2001
Average (3)	
Good (4)	
Excellent (5)	1

B. Is your syllabus sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics?

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	

C. Is the timely coverage of syllabus possible in the mentioned number of hours?

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	

D. Sufficient reference material and books are available for the topics mentioned in the syllabus?

Poor	1	=======================================
Fair		
Average		
Good		
Excellent		

E. The evaluation methods mentioned in the curriculum are sufficient for providing proper assessment?

Poor	 	
Fair	y	
Average.		
Good		٠٠٠.
Excellent		

F. The present syllabus is

Poor	مر م
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	

Rate your course syllabus on the following points:

G. Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities or broadening perspectives)

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	

Poor	the state of the s
Fair	With the control of t
Average	
Good	
Excellent	1

Applicability/relevance to real life situations

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	

Extent of the coverage of course

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	

K. Give suggestions for improvement (to consider during syllabus revision)

A few manufact wouses meeded in the regulables.

4	Lew	in dustr
A	Lew	, industr

C K	$\omega_{\mathcal{C}}$	2	PQ
_	J		\sim

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.

Your comments will be analysed and considered along with feedback received from other stakeholders. This information will assist KITSW to determine how well the curriculum is meeting the needs of students and to identify areas requiring change or further monitoring.



KAKATIYA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY & SCIENCE Warrangal - 506 013, Telangana, INDIA. (An AUTONOMOUS INSTITUTE runcker Kakatiga University, Warrangal) కాకతీయ సాంకేతిక బిజ్జాన శాస్త్ర బిద్యాలయం, వరంగల్ – 40€ 08 4.

FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date: 07 09 2

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

Teacher's feedback

Please give following details:

Name Department Designation Academic Year Courses you are teaching	A. Srinivas ECE Asst. Mof 2021-22 AIML PYTHON, AC-1, EMTL, MLSP_M. Tech

Please rate the syllabus on the following points:

A. Do you feel that the syllabus is defined in a way to clarify your teaching goals and what you expect your students to learn?

Poor (1)	
Fair (2)	
Average (3)	
Good (4)	
Excellent (5)	

B. Is your syllabus sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics?

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	

C. Is the timely coverage of syllabus possible in the mentioned number of hours?

Poor
Fair
Average

D. Sufficient reference material and books are available for the topics mentioned in the syllabus?

Poor		
Fair		
Average	•	
Good		
Excellent		

E. The evaluation methods mentioned in the curriculum are sufficient for providing proper assessment?

Poor	
Fair	
·Average	
Good	
Excellent	
	· · · ·

F. The present syllabus is

Good

Excellent

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	

Rate your course syllabus on the following points:

G. Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities or broadening perspectives)

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	

I. Applicability/relevance to real life situations

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	1
Excellent	

J. Extent of the coverage of course

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	

K. Give suggestions for improvement (to consider during syllabus revision)

Signature

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.

Your comments will be analysed and considered along with feedback received from other stakeholders. This information will assist KITSW to determine how well the curriculum is meeting the needs of students and to identify areas requiring change or further monitoring.



KAKATIYA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY & SCIENCE Warmengal-506 01% Telangana, INDIA (An ALTONOMOUS INSTITUTE rancker Kakatilya University, Warmugal) కాకతీయ సాంకేతిక బిజ్జాన శాస్త్ర బిద్యాలయం మందర - 406 08 4.

FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date: [0 10 2

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

Student	
Teacher	
Employer	
Parent	
Alumni	
Other (Please specify)	

Teacher's feedback

Please give following details:

0		
Name	Dr. D. Venu]
Department : :		1
Designation	ASRT. Prop.	
Academic Year	2021-22	1
Courses you are teaching	Gignals & Rystems, Digital highar Proce	160g
		, C L

Please rate the syllabus on the following points:

A. Do you feel that the syllabus is defined in a way to clarify your teaching goals and what you expect your students to learn?

Poor (1)	
Fair (2)	
Average (3)	
Good (4)	
Excellent (5)	

B. Is your syllabus sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics?

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	

C. Is the t	imely coverage of syllab	ous possible i	n the men	tioned number of hours?
	Poor			
	Fair			
	Average			
	Good			
	Excellent			
D. Sufficie syllabu	ent reference material ans?	nd books are a	vailable fo	or the topics mentioned in the
	Fair			
•	Average			
	Good			
	Excellent			•
	LACCITOR			
proper a	Poor Fair Average Good Excellent			re sufficient for providing
F. The pres	sent syllabus is			
	Poor			
	Fair			
	Average			
	Good			
	Excellent	~		
	se syllabus on the foll			
perspectiv	es)	concepts, knowl	ledge, analy	tical abilities or broadening

Scanned with OKEN Scanner

Fair

Average

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	1
Excellent	

Applicability/relevance to real life situations

Poor	*
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	

Extent of the coverage of course

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	 · · · · · ·

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.

Your comments will be analysed and considered along with feedback received from other stakeholders. This information will assist KITSW to determine how well the curriculum is meeting the needs of students and to identify areas requiring change or further monitoring.

Page 3 of 3



Warangal-906019, Telangana, INDIA (Aa ALTO VONOLS INSITE III mucker kakatiya University, Warangal) පැජපිරෝ බංරම්පීජ නිසූති ණනු නිස්ලානරාර _{කරුණ –} 40€ 08 4.

FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date: 27-01-2022

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

Student	
Teacher	
Employer	
Parent	-
Alumni	
Other (Please specify)	

Teacher's feedback

Please give following details:

Name .	DY, B-Dhamalaxur
Department · : ·	1-CF
Designation · ·	Asst. Motegsen.
Academic Year	2021-22
Courses you are teaching	EDC, Ael, Acz, RSC, DIP

Please rate the syllabus on the following points:

A. Do you feel that the syllabus is defined in a way to clarify your teaching goals and what you expect your students to learn?

Poor (1)	
Fair (2)	
Average (3)	
Good (4)	
Excellent (5)	

B. Is your syllabus sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics?

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	

C. Is the timely coverage of syllabus possible in the mentioned number of hours?
C. Is the unitry of Poor
Fair
Average
Good
Excellent
15/CCA
D. Cufficient reference material and books are available for the topics
D. Sufficient reference material and books are available for the topics mentioned in the
syllabus?
Poor
Fair
Average
Good
Excellent
E. The evaluation methods mentioned in the curriculum are sufficient for providing proper assessment?
proper assessment?
Poor
Fair
Average
Good
Excellent
F. The present syllabus is
Poor
Fair
Average
Good
Excellent

Rate your course syllabus on the following points:

G. Learning value (in terms of skills, concents, knowledge, analytical abilities of

G. Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities or broadening perspectives)

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	

1. Applicability/relevance to real life situations

1000		
	Poor	THE RESERVE AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY OF THE
	Fair	
	Average	
	Good	
	Excellent	Contract of the Contract of th
		THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN 2 I

J. Extent of the coverage of course

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	

K. Give suggestions for improvement (to consider during syllabus revision)

	0	Λ
N	r	Q
7 ~	1	/

Signature

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.

Your comments will be analysed and considered along with feedback received from other stakeholders. This information will assist KITSW to determine how well the curriculum is meeting the needs of students and to identify areas requiring change or further monitoring.



KAKATIYA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY & SCIENCE

Warangal - 506 ols, Telangana, INDIA. (An AUTONOMOUS INSTITUTE under Kakantya University, Warangal) కాకతీయ సాంకేతిక బజ్జున శాస్త్ర బద్వాలయం జదంగణ్ - 406084.

FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date: 12/09/22

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

Student	
Teacher	L
Employer	
Parent	
Alumni	
Other (Please specify)	

Teacher's feedback

Please give following details:

I was give jouding memor		
Name	Ja Sheshagiri Babu.	
Department	ECE	
Designation	A sei stant Professor	
'Academic Year.		
Courses you are teaching	MP, MPMCS, MRFB	

Please rate the syllabus on the following points:

A. Do you feel that the syllabus is defined in a way to clarify your teaching goals and what you expect your students to learn?

Poor (1)	
Fair (2)	
Average (3)	
Good (4)	
Excellent (5)	

B. Is your syllabus sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics?

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	
E. The evaluation methods mentioned in the c	urriculum are sufficient for provid
proper assessment?	provide a contract of provide
Poor	
Fair	
Ayerage	
Good	
Excellent	
DACCITCIT	
F. The present syllabus is	
T	
Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	
DACCITCITE.	
Rate your course syllabus on the following poin	ts:
G. Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowle	ledge, analytical abilities or broadening
perspectives)	
Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	

C. Is the timely coverage of syllabus possible in the mentioned number of hours?

D. Sufficient reference material and books are available for the topics mentioned in the

Poor

Fair

Good

syllabus?

Average

Excellent

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	

I. Applicability/relevance to real life situations

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	

J. Extent of the coverage of course

	T
Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	


K. Give suggestions for improvement (to consider during syllabus revision)

syllabors le good



Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.

Your comments will be analysed and considered along with feedback received from other stakeholders. This information will assist KITSW to determine how well the curriculum is meeting the needs of students and to identify areas requiring change or further monitoring.



KAKATIYA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY & SCIENCE Warangal - 506 01% Telangana, INDIA (Analis VERNERIKANA) INSUITE IT HICKAR ANALITIKA EMINERIKA Wanangal) පංඡුම්රා බංරුම්මුජ හණුත් පතු හියලුළරෝර කෙන ඉහැගැ

FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date: 22,01.2022

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form,

Please select your category:

Student	
Teacher	Land of the land o
Employer	
Parent	
Alumni	
Other (Please specify)	

Teacher's feedback

Please give following details:

Name Department Designation Academic Year Courses you are teaching	Dr. Ch. Socidevi Reddy ECE Ast. Profesior. 2021-2022 LICA, VLST, SVDV, AICD.
	, vcs., sv., , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,

Please rate the syllabus on the following points:

A. Do you feel that the syllabus is defined in a way to clarify your teaching goals and what you expect your students to learn?

Poor (1)	
Fair (2)	
Average (3)	
Good (4)	
Excellent (5)	L. Marie Contraction of the Cont

B. Is your syllabus sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics?

Poor	THE RESERVE THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NOT THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TRANSPORT NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NOT THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TRANSPORT NAMED
Fair	
Average	A SOCIETY OF THE PARTY OF THE P
Good	,
Excellent	1 manufacture of the same of t

In this fifficity covers	
is the unicit correction	
The first transfer of the second seco	1
Poor	1 1
	1
Fair	
1 1.911	1
1	
Average	1 1
	1 1
Good	and the same of th
Cicica	
Excellent	
EXCENCE	

D. Sufficient reference material and books are available for the topics mentioned in the syllabus?

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	

E. The evaluation methods mentioned in the curriculum are sufficient for providing proper assessment?

Poor		
Fair	14	•
Average	•	• • •
Good		•
Excellent		

F. The present syllabus is

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	

Rate your course syllabus on the following points:

G. Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities or broadening perspectives)

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	1
Excellent	

Fair Average	
Good	
Excellent	

I. Applicability/relevance to real life situations

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	

J. Extent of the coverage of course

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	

K. Give suggestions for improvement (to consider during syllabus revision).

NIL.

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.

Your comments will be analysed and considered along with feedback received from other stakeholders. This information will assist KITSW to determine how well the curriculum is meeting the needs of students and to identify areas requiring change or further monitoring.

Signature